9th August 1955] [Sri K. P. Gopalan]

the management did not attend it but the representatives of the Union attended it. This only shows that the whole intention of the management is to retrench the workers first and then to increase the work-load and bring about rationalization in the mills. Sir, if rationalization is introduced in the mills, it will create another 'Cawnpore' in Malabar. The management of these mills, by retrenching the workers, want to increase the work-load so that they can earn more profits. The Union is ready to come to some amicable settlement so as to avoid labour dispute or unrest. That is why I brought this important matter before this House. Now the Government have got responsibility in this matter and they must see that industrial peace is maintained in Malabar and the workers are not retrenched as there is already acute unemployment in the country. I hope the Government will persuade and stop the management from retrenching the workers. Now I expect a statement from the Hon. Minister for Labour regarding this matter. At the same time I believe that he will try his level best to see that an amicable settlement is reached between the management and the workers. That is all I want to say in respect of this matter."

* THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I would like to make the following statement with reference to the question raised by the hon. Member.

" Sir, the management of the Malabar Spinning and Weaving Co., Ltd., Thiruvannur, have proposed to retrench 184 workers on the plea that the retrenchment will be necessitated owing to the stoppage of night shifts, as there is accumulation of stock. They have put up a notice to this effect on 4—7—1955. As the management have put in a petition before the Labour Appellate Tribunal under section 22 of the Industrial Disputes (Appellate Tribunal) Act, 1950 and as the parties have agreed to await the orders of the Labour Appellate Tribunal, no further action is necessary in the matter at this stage."

II.—ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE HON. SPEAKER—*cont.*

(2) MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR *re* THE MADRAS APPROPRIATION (NO. 3) BILL, 1955.

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have to announce to the House the following message received from the Governor, dated 8th August 1955 :—

'In pursuance of Article 207, clause (1), read with Article 199 (1) (*d*) of the Constitution of India, I, Sri Prakasa, Governor of Madras, hereby give my recommendation to the introduction in the Madras Legislative Assembly of the Madras Appropriation (No. 3) Bill, 1955, and in pursuance of clause (3) of Article 207, I also recommend to that Assembly the consideration of the said Bill.' "

(3) MESSAGE FROM THE COUNCIL *re* NOMINATION OF TEN MEMBERS TO THE JOINT SELECT COMMITTEE.

MR. SPEAKER :—" I have also to announce to the House the following message, dated 8th August 1955, received from the

[Mr. Speaker] [9th August 1955

Hon. Chairman, Madras Legislative Council, transmitting a copy of the following motion passed by the Legislative Council concurring with the Assembly in setting up a Joint Select Committee to consider the Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Bill, 1955 :

'That this House concurs with the Assembly in setting up a Joint Select Committee of both the Houses consisting of 30 Members (20 Members of the Assembly and 10 Members of the Council) to consider the Madras Cultivating Tenants Protection Bill, 1955 (L. A. Bill No. 11 of 1955) and that the following Members of this House be selected to serve on such a Joint Select Committee:—

1. The Hon. Sri M. Bhaktavatsalam.
2. Sri K. Bhashyam.
3. ,, A. M. Allapichai.
4. ,, T. Purushotham.
5. ,, T. G. Krishnamurthi.
6. ,, N. Nallasenapathi Sarkarai Manradiar.
7. Dr. V. K. John.
8. Sri Muhammed Raza Khan.
9. ,, A. Gajapathy Nayagar.
10. ,, K. Balasubramania Ayyar.' "

IV.—GOVERNMENT RESOLUTIONS.

(1) AMENDMENTS UNDER SECTION 40 (1) OF THE MADRAS ESTATES (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) ACT, 1948 (MADRAS ACT XXVI OF 1948).

* THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU :—" Mr. Speaker, Sir, I beg to move the following resolution :—

' *That the following draft amendments to the rules published with Revenue Department Notification, dated the 21st December 1949, at pages 397–398 of the Rules Supplement to Part I of the* Fort St. George Gazette, *dated the 27th December 1949, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 40 (1) of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 (Madras Act XXVI of 1948) be approved.*

DRAFT AMENDMENTS.

In the said rules—(1) *in rule* 3, *for the words " six months " and " three months ", the words " nine months " shall be substituted;*

(2) *rule* 4 *shall be omitted.'*

While doing so, I wish to say a few words. In the practical working of the rules, certain difficulties have been experienced and it is not possible to make the payment within the time mentioned in the rule and so the rule has to be infringed. Therefore we have brought forward these amendments so that they may be technically conformable with the rule. In these amendments we have increased the duration of the time by three months in one case and six months in another case. I hope the House will accept the resolution."